arXiv:math/0304014v1 [math.NT] 1 Apr 2003

THE TATE CONJECTURE FOR POWERS OF ORDINARY CUBIC FOURFOLDS OVER FINITE FIELDS

YURI G. ZARHIN

Abstract. Recently N. Levin proved the Tate conjecture for ordinary cubic fourfolds over finite fields. In this paper we prove the Tate conjecture for self-products of ordinary cubic fourfolds. Our proof is based on properties of so called polynomials of K3 type introduced by the author about a dozen years ago.

1. Introduction.

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a finite field k of characteristic p. We write X_a for $X \times k(a)$ where $k(a)$ is an algebraic closure of k. For each non-negative integer m and each rational prime l different from p let us consider the $2m$th twisted ℓ-adic cohomology group $H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ of Y_a. The Galois group $G(k)$ of k acts on $H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ in a natural way. In [23] Tate conjectured that the subspace fixed under the Galois action is spanned by cohomology classes of codimension m algebraic cycles on X. The famous conjecture of Serre and Grothendieck [23, 24, 12, 20] asserts that the action of $G(K)$ on $H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ is semisimple, i.e., the Frobenius automorphism acts on $H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ as a semisimple linear operator.

The Tate conjecture is known to be true in certain cases, e.g., Fermat varieties satisfying certain numerical conditions [23, 21, 26]; abelian varieties for $m = 1$ [24], certain classes of abelian varieties with arbitrary m [22, 27, 28, 10], [14], "almost all" K3 surfaces [3, 16, 17].

Let Y be a *cubic fourfold*, i.e., a smooth projective hypersurface of degree 3 in $\mathbf{P}^5$ defined over k. It is well-known that all odd ℓ-adic Betti numbers of Y_a do vanish; it is also known that the second and sixth Betti numbers of Y_a are equal to 1 while its fourth Betti number is 23 [18]. Let $\mathfrak{l} \in H^2(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)$ be the class of a hyperplane section of Y. One may easily check that

$$H^2(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1) = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{l} = H^2(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)^{G(k)}; H^6(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1) = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{l}^3 = H^6(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)^{G(k)}.$$

It was proven by Rapoport [18] that the Frobenius automorphism acts on $H^4(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2)$ as a semisimple linear operator.

Recently N. Levin [11] proved the Tate conjecture when $X = Y$ is an *ordinary* cubic fourfold. In this case the only non-trivial case is $m = 2$ and the theorem of Levin asserts that $H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2)^{G(k)}$ is generated as $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace by algebraic classes of codimension 2 (defined over k).

The aim of the present paper is to prove the Tate conjecture for self-products $X = Y^r$ of an ordinary K3 cubic fourfold Y. For example, if $r = 2$ and $X = Y^2$ then the most interesting case is $m = 8$ and we prove, assuming k "sufficiently large", that $H^8(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2)^{G(k)}$ is generated as a $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace by algebraic

---

Partially supported by the NSF.

classes of the following four types: 1) products of codimension 2 algebraic cycles on factors Y of Y^2; 2) the classes of graphs of Frobenius and its iterates; 3) the classes of $Y \times \{\text{point}\}$ and $\{\text{point}\} \times Y$; 4)$\mathfrak{l} \times \mathfrak{l}^3$ and $\mathfrak{l}^3 \times \mathfrak{l}$. The proofs are based on results and ideas of our previous papers [29, 30] where the Tate conjecture was proven for self-products of ordinary K3 surfaces .

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss tensor invariants of certain ℓ-adic representations of $G(k)$. Section 3 treats cohomological ℓ-adic representations. The Tate conjecture is discussed in Section 4. In Section 5 we will prove the Tate conjecture for powers of an ordinary cubic fourfold.

We write $\mathbf{Z}_+$ for the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of non-negative integers. Recall that $G(k)$ is procyclic and has a canonical generator, namely, the arithmetic Frobenius automorphism

$$\sigma_k : k(a) \to k(a), x \to x^q$$

where q is the number of elements of k. Clearly, q is an integral power of p. Another canonical generator of $G(k)$ is the geometric Frobenius automorphism $\varphi_k = \sigma_k^{-1}$.

2. Finite fields and ℓ-adic representations

Let k be a finite field of characteristic p consisting of q elements. We keep all notations of the Introduction connected with k. If $k' \subset k(a)$ is a finite overfield of k, then $k'(a) = k(a)$, the Galois group $G(k')$ of k' is an open subgroup of finite index $[k' : k]$ in $G(k)$ and $\varphi_{k'} = \varphi_k^{[k':k]}$.

Let ℓ be a rational prime different from p. We refer to [13, 23, 29] for the definition of the one-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector space $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(1)$ and the corresponding cyclotomic character

$$\chi_\ell : G(k) \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\times \subset \mathbf{Q}_\ell^\times = \operatorname{Aut} \mathbf{Q}_\ell(1).$$

This character defines the Galois action on $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(1)$. Notice, that

$$\chi_\ell(\sigma_k) = q, \quad \chi_\ell(\varphi_k) = q^{-1},.$$

We write $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(-1)$ for the one-dimensional dual vector space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell(1), \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ with a natural structure of the dual Galois module defined by the character χ_ℓ^{-1}. To each integer i one may attach a certain one-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector space $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(i)$ with Galois action defined by χ_l^i. Namely, $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(0) = \mathbf{Q}_\ell, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(i) = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(1)^{\otimes i}$ if i is positive and $\mathbf{Q}_\ell(i) = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(-1)^{\otimes(-i)}$ if i is negative.

For all integers i, j there are natural isomorphisms of Galois modules

$$\mathbf{Q}_\ell(-i) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell(i), \mathbf{Q}_\ell), \ \mathbf{Q}_\ell(i) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell(j) = \mathbf{Q}_\ell(i + j).$$

Let

$$\rho : G(k) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$$

be an ℓ-adic representation of $G(k)$, i.e., V is a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector space and ρ is a continuous homomorphism [19]. Clearly,

$$V^{G(k)} = V^{\rho(\varphi_k)}.$$

To each integer i one may attach the twisted ℓ-adic representation

$$\rho[i] : G(k) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V(i))$$

where

$$V(i) = V \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathbf{Q}_\ell(i), \ \rho[i](\sigma)(v \otimes a) = \chi_l^i(\sigma)((\sigma v) \otimes a).$$

For example,

$$\rho[i](\sigma_k)(v\otimes a)=q^i(\rho(\sigma_k)(v)\otimes a),\ \rho[i](\varphi_k)(v\otimes a)=q^{-i}(\rho(\varphi_k)(v)\otimes a).$$

We have $V(0)=V, \mathbf{Q}_\ell(i)(j)=\mathbf{Q}_\ell(i+j)$. If $\rho^*: G(K)\to \mathrm{Aut}(V^*)$ is the dual ℓ-adic representation then there are natural isomorphisms of Galois modules $(V(i))^*=V^*(-i)$.

Remark 2.1. Let $\rho': G(k)\to \mathrm{Aut}(W)$ be (may be, another) ℓ-adic representation of $G(K)$. Then we obtain natural isomorphisms of Galois modules

$$\begin{aligned} V(i)\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} W(j)=(V\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} W)(i+j), \rho[i]\otimes\rho'[j]=(\rho\otimes\rho')(i+j),\\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}(V,W)=V^*\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} W=V^*(-i)\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} W(i)\\ =V(i)^*\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} W(i)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}_l}(V(i),W(i)).\end{aligned}$$

Example 2.2. If $u=\rho(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma\in G(k)$ then one may easily check that

$$u_{[i]}=\chi_l^{-i}(\sigma)\rho[i](\sigma)\in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Q}_l}(V(i)).$$

In particular, if $u=\rho(\sigma_k)$ then $u_{[i]}=q^{-i}\rho[i](\sigma_k)$. If $u=\rho(\varphi_k)$ then $u_{[i]}=q^i\rho[i](\varphi_k)$.

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 3.1.4 of [30])**.** *Let us assume that the ℓ-adic representation $\rho[i]$ is semisimple and consider the characteristic polynomial*

$$P_{\rho[i]}(t)=\det(\mathrm{id}-t\rho[i](\varphi_k),V(i)).$$

Let R be the set of reciprocal roots of $P_{\rho[i]}(t)$. Assume that either 1 is the unique element of R, i.e., $R=\{1\}$ is the one-element set or there exists a non-empty subset $B\subset R$ such that:

1. *B consists of multiplicatively independent elements; in particular, it does not contain 1 and does not meet $B^{-1}=\{\alpha^{-1}\mid \alpha\in B\}$;*
2. *Either R coincides with the disjoint union of B and B^{-1} or R coincides with the disjoint union of B, B^{-1} and the one-element set $\{1\}$.*

Then for each even natural number $2n$ all elements of $(V(i)^{\otimes 2n})^{G(k)}$ can be presented as a linear combination of tensor products of n elements of $(V(i)^{\otimes 2})^{G(k)}$. Each element of $(V(i)^{\otimes(2n+1)})^{G(k)}$ can be presented as a linear combination of tensor products of an element of $V(i)^{G(k)}$ and n elements of $(V(i)^{\otimes 2})^{G(k)}$.

Definition 2.4. Recall [29, 3.2] that ρ is called *semistable* if it enjoys the following property. If $u=\rho(\sigma)\in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ for some $\sigma\in G(k)$ and an eigenvalue α of u is a root of unity, then $\alpha=1$. In fact, in order to make sure that ρ is semistable, it suffices to inspect the eigenvalues only for $u=\rho(\varphi_k)$ [29, 3.2.1].

Remark 2.5. If ρ is semistable and k' is a finite overfield of k then the restriction of ρ to $G(k')$ is also a semistable ℓ-adic representation of $G(k')$ and the invariants of $G(k)$ and $G(k')$ coincide, i.e., $V^{G(k)}=V^{G(k')}$.

Conversely, for each ρ there exists a positive integer r such that if an eigenvalue α of $\rho(\varphi_k)$ is a root of unity then $\alpha^r=1$. Now if $k_r\subset k(a)$ is the degree r extension of k then every eigenvalue β of $\rho(\varphi_{k_r})=\rho(\varphi_k)^r$ that is a root of unity is equal to 1. This means that the restriction of ρ to $G(k_r)$ is semistable.

3. ℓ-ADIC COHOMOLOGY

Let Y be a smooth projective variety over k and $Y_a = Y \times k(a)$. Let ℓ be a rational prime $\ne p$. The Galois group $G(k)$ acts on $Y_a = Y \times_k k(a)$ through the second factor. For each non-negative integer i this action induces by functoriality the Galois action on the ith ℓ-adic étale cohomology group $H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$. We write $\rho_{Y,i}$ for the corresponding cohomological l-adic representation [20]

$$\rho_{Y,i} : G(k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)).$$

Let $F_{Y/k} : Y \to Y$ be the Frobenius endomorphism of the k-scheme Y [23], [13]. It is defined as the identity map on points, together with the map $f \to f^q$ in the structure sheaf. Let $\mathrm{Frob}_Y = F_{Y/k} \times \mathrm{id}_{k(a)}$ be the corresponding $k(a)$-endomorphism of Y_a. We write $(\mathrm{Frob}_Y)_i$ for the endomorphism of $H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ induced by Frob_Y.

Remark 3.1. If $k' \subset k(a)$ is a finite overfield of k and $Y' = Y \times_k k'$ is the corresponding smooth projective variety over k', then

$$Y'_a = Y_a, \quad H^i(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) = H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

and $\rho_{Y',i}$ coincides with the restriction of $\rho_{Y,i}$ to $G(k')$. In particular,

$$\rho_{Y',i}(\varphi_{k'}) = \rho_{Y,i}(\varphi_k)^{[k':k]}.$$

Remark 3.2. It is well-known [23, 13] that

$$(\mathrm{Frob}_Y)_i = \rho_{Y,i}(\varphi_k).$$

Let us consider the characteristic polynomial

$$P_{Y,i}(t) = \det(\mathrm{id} - t\rho_{Y,i}(\varphi_k), H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)).$$

A famous theorem of Deligne [5, 6] (conjectured by Weil) asserts that $P_{Y,i}(t)$ lies in $1 + t\mathbf{Z}[t]$, does not depend on the choice of l and all its (complex) reciprocal roots have absolute values equal to $q^{i/2}$.

Notice, that in the case of cubic fourfolds this result was proven earlier than the general case by Rapoport [18] (inspired by ideas of [4]). His paper also contain the proof of semisimplicity of the action of Frobenius in the case of cubic fourfolds. For Abelian varieties the semisimplicity was proven by Weil (see [15]).

Let $i = 2m$ be an even non-negative integer. Let us consider the twisted cohomological l-adic representation

$$\rho_{Y,2m}[m] : G(k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)).$$

One may easily check ([29], 4.2) that

$$P_{Y,[m]}(t) = \det(\mathrm{id} - t\rho_{Y,2m}[m](\varphi_k), H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)) = P_{Y,2m}(t/q^m).$$

Now, the theorem of Deligne implies that $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$ lies in $1 + t\mathbf{Z}[1/q][t]$, does not depend on the choice of l and all its (complex) reciprocal roots have absolute values equal to 1. In other words, $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$ is a q-admissible polynomial in the sense of [29]. In particular, if α is a (reciprocal) root of $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$ then α^{-1} is also one.

Remark 3.3. Clearly, there exists a positive integer r such for all roots α of $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$ we have $\alpha^r = 1$ if α is a root of unity. It follows from Remarks 2.5 and 3.1 that if k' is the degree r extension k_r of k and $Y' = Y \times_k k'$ then $\rho_{Y',2m}[m]$ is semistable for all $\ell \ne p$.

Let $d = \dim(Y)$. Let us consider the Künneth decomposition

$$H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) = \oplus_{i=0}^{i=2d} H^{2d-i}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$$

of the d-twisted middle l-adic cohomology group of $Y_a \times Y_a = (Y \times Y)_a$. Clearly, each element $c \in H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)$ can be presented uniquely as a sum

$$c = \oplus_{i=0}^{i=2d} c_i \quad \text{with } c_i \in H^{2d-i}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell).$$

Notice that the Künneth decomposition is $G(k)$-equivariant. In particular, $c \in H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)$ is a $G(k)$-invariant if and only if all c_i are $G(k)$-invariant.

Remark 3.4. Let $c \in H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)$ be an *algebraic cohomology class*, i.e., a linear combination of cohomology classes of closed irreducible codimension d subvarieties on $Y_a \times Y_a$ [23]. It follows from results of [8] that all c_i are also *algebraic cohomology classes*.

Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be a $k(a)$-endomorphism of $Y(a)$. We write $\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathfrak{u}}$ for the graph of $\mathfrak{u}$; it is a d-dimensional irreducible closed subvariety of $Y_a \times Y_a$. We write $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathfrak{u}})$ for its ℓ-adic cohomology class: it is an element of $H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)$. By functoriality, $\mathfrak{u}$ induces an endomorphism of $H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ which will be denoted by

$$\mathfrak{u}_i \in \mathrm{End}(H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)) = \mathrm{End}(V_i).$$

Example 3.5. If $\mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{id}$ then its graph is the diagonal Δ and therefore id_i is the identity endomorphism of $H^i(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$.

Example 3.6. If $\mathfrak{u} = \mathrm{Frob}_Y$ then according to 3.2

$$\mathfrak{u}_i = (\mathrm{Frob}_Y)_i = \rho_{Y,i}(\varphi_k).$$

For each positive j the jth power Frob_Y^j of Frob_Y is defined. As usual, if $j = 0$, we put $\mathrm{Frob}_Y^j = \mathrm{id}$. Let us put

$$\mathfrak{f}r_i = (\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y})_i).$$

It is known [7] that $\mathfrak{f}r_i$ can be presented as a linear combination of $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y^j})$ with rational coefficients ($j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$). Notice, that it is well-known [23, 13] that all $\mathrm{cl}((\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y})_i)$ are $G(k)$-invariants.

Remark 3.7. If $d = \dim(Y) = 2m$ is even then there is the canonical isomorphism

$$H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) = H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m) \otimes H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m).$$

Remark 3.8. If $d = \dim(Y) = 2m$ is even then one may easily deduce from 2.1, 2.2 and 3.2 that $q^m \rho_{Y,2m}[m](\varphi_k) = ((\mathrm{Frob}_Y)_i)_{[m]}$, i.e.,

$$g := \rho_{Y,2m}[m](\varphi_k) = q^{-m}((\mathrm{Frob}_Y)_i)_{[m]}.$$

Theorem 3.9 (Theorem 4.4 of [30])**.** *Assume that* $d = \dim(Y) = 2m$ *is even,* $g = \rho_{Y,2m}[m](\varphi_k)$ *is a semisimple linear operator and all its eigenvalues different from 1 are simple. Then the vector subspace of Galois invariants*

$$(H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))^{G(k)} \subset H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m) \otimes H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m)$$

is generated by $(H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)} \otimes (H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m))^{G(k)}$ *and all* $(cl(Graph_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y^j}))_d$ *with* $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. *In particular,* $(H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))^{G(k)}$ *is contained in the vector subspace of* $H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d)^{G(k)}$ *generated by*

$$(H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)} \otimes (H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m))^{G(k)}$$

and all $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y^j})$ *with* $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

4. The Tate conjecture

We write $\mathrm{Al}^m(Y)$ for the $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace of $H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))$ spanned by the cohomology classes of all algebraic cycles of codimension m on Y. It is well-known [20] that

$$\mathrm{Al}^m(Y) \subset H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)}.$$

Elements of $H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)}$ are called *Tate classes* on Y.

Tate [19] conjectured that the following assertion holds true.

$$T(Y, m, k, l): \qquad \mathrm{Al}^m(Y) = H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)}.$$

Remark 4.1. Let k' be a finite algebraic extension of k and $Y' := Y \times_k k'$. It is known [19] that if the assertion $T(Y', m, k', l)$ holds true then the assertion $T(Y, m, k, l)$ also holds true.

Recall [29], that Y is called to be of K3 type in dimension $2m$ if the characteristic polynomial $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$ is of K3 type, i.e. its p-adic Newton polygon [9] enjoys the following properties. There exists a non-zero rational number c such that the set of slopes is either $\{c, -c\}$ or $\{c, -c, 0\}$. In both cases slopes c and $-c$ must have length 1.

For example, a K3 surface is of K3 type in dimension 2 if and only if it is ordinary [29]. An ordinary Abelian surface is of K3 type in dimension 2.

An ordinary cubic fourfold Y is of K3 type in dimension 4. Indeed, the Hodge numbers of a cubic fourfold (in dimension 4) are as follows [18].

$$h^{4,0} = h^{0,4} = 0, h^{3,1} = h^{1,3} = 1, h^{2,2} = 21.$$

Since the Hodge polygon of an ordinary cubic fourfold coincides with the Newton polygon, the p-adic Newton polygon of $P_{Y,4}(t)$ admits the following description. Its set of slopes is $\{1, 2, 3\}$; the length of both slopes 1 and 3 is 1 while the length of slope 2 is 21. This implies easily that the Newton polygon of $P_{Y,[4]}(t)$ is of K3 type with the set of slopes $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. (The length of its slopes 1 and -1 is 1 while the length of slope 0 is 21.)

Remark 4.2. One may easily define motives of K3 type. The paper [7] contains examples of motives of K3 type arising from Fermat varieties.

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $d = \dim(Y) = 2m$ *is even. Assume that* $\rho_{Y,2m}[m]$ *is semistable. Assume also* Y *is of K3 type in dimension* $2m$. *We write* $a(m, Y)$ *for the multiplicity of* 1 *viewed as a root of* $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$. *Then*

$$P_{Y,[m]}(t) = (1 - t)^{a(m,Y)} P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$$

where the polynomial $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}[t]$ *enjoys the following properties:*

- (i) $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$ *is irreducible over* $\mathbf{Q}$*;*
- (ii) *The the set* $R_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}$ *of reciprocal roots of* $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$ *enjoys the following properties: if* $\alpha \in R_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}$ *then* α^{-1}*(= complex conjugate of* α*) also belongs to* $R_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}$. *In addition,* $R_{Y,tr}$ *does not contain roots of unity.*
- (iii) *One may choose a subset* $B \subset R_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}$ *such that* B *does not meet* $B^{-1} := \{\alpha^{-1} \mid \alpha \in B\}$ *and* $R_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}$ *is the (disjoint) union of* B *and* B^{-1}.
- (iv) *In addition, the set* B *consists of multiplicatively independent elements.*

Proof. The semistability means that none of the roots of $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$ is a root of unity. Taking into account, that all the coefficients of $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$ are real, we obtain (ii). Now the assertion (iii) follows readily.

Recall that $P_{Y,[m]}(t)$ is of K3 type. It follows easily that $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$ is also of K3 type. Recall that none of roots of $P_{Y,\mathrm{tr}}(t)$ is a root of unity. Now the assertions (i) and (iv) follow easily from general results about polynomials of K3 type [29, th. 2.4.3 and 2.4.4]. □

Theorem 4.4 (Theorem 5.3.1 of [30])**.** *Let $d = \dim(Y) = 2m$ is even. Assume that $\rho_{Y,2m}[m]$ is semistable and semisimple. If Y is of K3 type in dimension $2m$ then $(H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))^{G(k)}$ is generated as a vector subspace of $H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m) \otimes H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m)$ by $(H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)} \otimes (H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m))^{G(k)}$ and all $(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y^j}))_d$ with $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. In particular, $(H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(d) \otimes H^d(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))^{G(k)}$ is contained in the vector subspace of $H^{2d}(Y_a \times Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(n)^{G(k)}$ generated by*

$$(H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)} \otimes (H^{2m}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell))(m))^{G(k)}$$

and all $\mathrm{cl}(Graph_{Frob_Y^j})$ with $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let Y be an ordinary cubic fourfold over a finite field k of characteristic p. Then there exists a finite overfield $k' \supset k$ such that the ordinary cubic fourfold $Y' = Y \times_k k'$ over k' enjoys the following properties for all primes $\ell \neq p$:*

- (i) *$\rho_{Y',4}[2]$ is semistable;*
- (ii) *$(H^4(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} H^4(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2))^{G(k')}$ is contained in the $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace of $H^8(Y'_a \times Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4)^{G(k')}$ generated by $\mathrm{Al}^2(Y') \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{Al}^2(Y')$ and all $\mathrm{cl}(Graph_{Frob_{Y'}{}^j})$ with $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.*
- (iii) *Let $\mathfrak{l} \in H^2(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)$ be the class of a hyperplane section. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an effective 0-cycle on Y'. (For instance, if $Y'(k') = Y(k')$ is non-empty then one may take as $\mathfrak{a}$ any k'-rational point on Y.) Then $H^8(Y'_a \times Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4)^{G(k)}$ is generated as a $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace by $\mathrm{Al}^2(Y'_a) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{Al}_2(Y'_a)$, $\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{l}^3$, $\mathfrak{l}^3 \otimes \mathfrak{l}$, $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{Graph}_{\mathrm{Frob}_Y^j})$ ($j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$) and the classes of $Y' \times \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \times Y'$. In particular, the Tate conjecture holds true for for $Y' \times Y'$ and therefore also for $Y \times Y$.*

Proof. Let us choose a finite overfield k' of k such that all $\rho_{Y',4}[2]$ are semistable: its existence follows from Remark 3.3.

It was already mentioned that, thanks to the theorem of Rapoport [18], $\rho_{Y',4}[2]$ is semisimple. Since, thanks to the theorem of Levine [11], $H^4(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2))^{G(k')} = \mathrm{Al}^2(Y')$, the assertion (ii) follows from Theorem 4.4.

The assertion (iii) follows from (ii) combined with the Galois-invariance of the Künneth decomposition for $H^8(Y'_a \times Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2)$ and obvious equalities

$$H^8(Y'a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4) = H^8(Y'a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4)^{G(k')} = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathrm{cl}(\mathfrak{a}),$$

$$H^2(Y'a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1) = H^2(Y'a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)^{G(k')} = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{l},$$

$$H^6(Y'a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(3) = H^6(Y'a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(3)^{G(k')} = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{l}^3$$

(where $\mathrm{cl}(\mathfrak{a})$ is the cohomology class of $\mathfrak{a}$). □

Remark 4.6. If Y is an ordinary cubic fourfold over a finite field k then the already mentioned results of Levin and Rapoport imply that

$$Al^2(Y) = \mathrm{Num}_2(Y) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_\ell$$

where $\mathrm{Num}_2(Y)$ is the group of numerical equivalence classes of cycles of codimension 2 on Y. In particular, the rank of $\mathrm{Num}_2(Y)$ coincides with the multiplicity of 1 viewed as a root of $P_{Y,[2]}(t)$ [24, Th. 2.9, pp. 74–75]. Clearly, if $\rho_{Y_1,4}[2]$ if semistable then the rank of $\mathrm{Num}_2(Y)$ is $a(2,Y) = 23 - \deg(P_{Y,tr}(t))$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let Y and Z be ordinary cubic fourfolds over a finite field k of characteristic p, enjoying the following properties:*

- (i) *$\rho_{Y,4}[2]$ and $\rho_{Z,4}[2]$ are semistable;*
- (ii) *$a(2,Y) \ne a(2,Z)$.*

Let $\mathfrak{l}_Y \in H^2(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)$ and $\mathfrak{l}_Z \in H^2(Z_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1)$ are classes of hyperplane sections of Y and Z respectively. Let $\mathfrak{a}_Y$ and $\mathfrak{a}_Z$ be effective zero cycles on Y and Z respectively.

Let us put $W = Y \times Z$. Then $(H^8(W_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4))^{G(k)}$ is generated as a $\mathbf{Q}_\ell$-vector subspace by $\mathrm{Al}^2(Y_a) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell} \mathrm{Al}_2(Z_a)$, $\mathfrak{l}_Y \otimes \mathfrak{l}_Z^3$, $\mathfrak{l}_Y^3 \otimes \mathfrak{l}_Z$ and the classes of $Y \times \mathfrak{a}_Z$ and $\mathfrak{a}_Y \times Z$. In particular, the Tate conjecture holds true for for $Y \times Z$.

Proof. It suffices to check that

$$(H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2) \otimes H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2)))^{G(k)} = (H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2))^{G(k)} \otimes (H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2)))^{G(k)}.$$

In order to do that it suffices to check that if α is a root of $P_{Y,[2]}(t)$ and β is a root of $P_{Z,[2]}(t)$ then $\alpha\beta = 1$ if and only if

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta = 1.$$

Let us prove it. Suppose $\alpha \ne 1$ and $\beta \ne 1$. Then α and β^{-1} are roots of $P_{Y,tr}(t)$ and $P_{Z,tr}$ respectively. But $P_{Y,tr}(t)$ and $P_{Z,tr}$ are $\mathbf{Q}$-irreducible polynomials with different degrees and therefore cannot have common roots. Hence $\alpha \ne \beta^{-1}$, i.e., $\alpha\beta \ne 1$. □

Remark 4.8. Similar arguments prove the Tate conjecture for $Y \times S$ where S is an ordinary K3 surface over k with semistable $\rho_{Y,2}[1]$ and $a(2,Y) \ne a(1,S) + 1$. (The second Betti number of a K3 surface is 22 while the fourth Betti number of a cubic fourfold is 23.) Also by the same token one may prove the Tate conjecture for the product of two ordinary K3 surfaces with different Picard numbers.

5. POWERS OF FOURFOLDS

Theorem 5.1. *Let Y be a smooth geometrically irreducible 4-dimensional projective variety over k such that the first and third Betti numbers of Y_a are zero and the second Betti number of Y_a is 1. Let us assume that $\rho_{Y,4}[2]$ is semisimple and semistable. Assume, in addition, that Y is of K3 type in dimension 4. Let $r > 1$ be an integer and let us put $X := Y^r$. Then each cohomology class in $(H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)}$ can be presented as a linear combination of products of pullbacks of Tate classes on Y and Y^2 with respect to the projection maps $X = Y^r \to Y, X = Y^r \to Y^2$. In particular, if for some prime ℓ the Tate conjecture holds true for Y and Y^2 then it is also true for X with the same ℓ.*

Corollary 5.2. *Let Y is an ordinary cubic fourfold over a finite field k. Let $r > 1$ be an integer and let us put $X := Y^r$. Then the Tate conjecture holds true for X.*

Proof of Corollary 5.2. According to Corollary 4.5 there exists a finite overfield $k' \supset k$ such that if $Y' = Y \times k'$ then $\rho_{Y',4}[2]$ is semistable and the Tate conjecture is true for Y'^2. Recall [11] that the Tate conjecture is valid for Y'. Applying Theorem 5.1 to Y'/k', we conclude that the Tate conjecture is valid for Y'^r. Since

$Y'^r = (Y \times k')^r = Y^r \times k'$, we conclude that the Tate conjecture is valid for $Y^r = X$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathfrak{l} \in H^2(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ be the class of a hyperplane section of Y. Clearly,

$$H^8(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4) = (H^8(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4))^{G(k')} = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathrm{cl}(\mathfrak{a}),$$
$$H^2(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1) = (H^2(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1))^{G(k')} = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{l},$$
$$H^6(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(3) = (H^6(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(3))^{G(k')} = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \cdot \mathfrak{l}^3$$

(where cl($\mathfrak{a}$ is the cohomology class of an effective 0-cycle $\mathfrak{a}$) on Y. In particular, the cohomology spaces $H^2(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1), H^6(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(3), H^8(Y'_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4)$ consist of Tate classes.

We say that $c \in H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ is a **decomposable** cohomology class if it can be presented as a linear combination of products of pullbacks of Tate classes on Y and Y^2 with respect to the projection maps

$$X = Y^r \to Y,\ X = Y^r \to Y^2.$$

Clearly, linear combinations and $\cup-$products of decomposable cohomology classes are also decomposable one.

Let $r' < r$ be a positive integer, $Y^r \to Y^{r'}$ any projection map. If $c \in H^{2m}(Y_a^{r'}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ is a decomposable cohomology class on $Y_a^{r'}$ then its pullback is a decomposable cohomology class in $H^{2m}(Y_a^r, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m) = H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$. If $r = 1$ or $r = 2$ then each Tate class on $X_a = Y_a^r$ is decomposable by obvious reasons. Clearly, in order to prove Theorem 5.1, we have to check that each Galois-invariant cohomology class in $H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ is decomposable.

Let us look more thoroughly at the cohomology of $X_a = Y_a^r$. First, notice that the Künneth formula combined with Poincaré duality [13] implies that under our assumptions all odd-dimensional cohomology groups of X_a vanish. In order to describe explicitly the even-dimensional cohomology groups of X_a let us fix a non-negative integer m and consider the set $\mathcal{M}(r, m)$ of maps

$$j : \{1, 2 \dots, r\} \to \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} \quad \text{with } \sum_{i=1}^{r} j(i) = m.$$

Then the Künneth formula for $X_a = Y_a^r$ implies easily that

$$H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}(r,m)} \otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^{2j(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell).$$

After the proper twist we obtain a canonical isomorphism of Galois modules

$$H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}(r,m)} \otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^{2j(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(i))$$

compatible with $\cup-$products. In particular,

$$(H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m))^{G(k)} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}(r,m)} H_j^{G(k)} \quad \text{where } H_j := \otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^{2j(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(i)).$$

The symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_r$ of permutation in r letters acts on $X = Y^r$ in a natural way. By functoriality, it acts on $H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ and this action commutes with Galois action. Clearly, if $s \in \mathbf{S}_r$ and $c \in H^{2m}(X_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$ then the cohomology class c is decomposable if and only if s^*c is decomposable. Notice also that

$$s^* H_j = H_{js^{-1}}\ \forall j \in \mathcal{M}(r, m)$$

with the map $js^{-1}:\{1,2\dots,r\}\to\{0,1,2,3,4\},\quad js^{-1}(i):=j(s^{-1}(i))$. Of course, the latter formula defines an obvious action of $\mathbf{S}_r$ on $\mathcal{M}(r,m)$. It follows that $s^*(H_j^{G(k)})=(H_{js^{-1}})^{G(k)}$ for all $j\in\mathcal{M}(r,m)$; in particular, all Galois-invariant cohomology classes in H_j are decomposable if and only if all Galois-invariant cohomology classes in $H_{js^{-1}}$ are decomposable. This implies that in order to prove Theorem 5.1, it suffices to check that all Galois-invariant cohomology classes in H_j are decomposable for each **non-decreasing** maps $j:\{1,2\dots,r\}\to\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ from $\mathcal{M}(r,m)$.

So, Theorem 5.1 follows from the following assertion.

Lemma 5.3. *Let j be a non-decreasing map*

$$j:\{1,2\dots,r\}\to\{0,1,2,3,4\}\quad\text{with}\ \sum_{i=1}^{r}j(i)=m.$$

Let $H_j=\otimes_{i=1}^{r}H^{2j(i)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(i))$ be the correspondent Künneth chunk of $H^{2m}(X_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)=H^{2m}(Y_a^r,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m)$. Then each Galois-invariant cohomology class in H_j is decomposable.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We use induction by r. We already know that the Lemma is true for $r=1$ and $r=2$. So, we may assume that $r>2$.

Case 1. Assume that $j(1)<2$, i.e., $j(1)=0$ or 1. Let us consider the the projection map $\phi_1:X=Y^r\to Y$ on the first factor, the projection map $\phi:X=Y^r\to Y^{r-1}$ onto the product of last $(r-1)$ factors and a non-decreasing map

$$j':\{1,2\dots,r-1\}\to\{0,1,2,3,4\},\quad j'(i):=j(i+1)\quad\text{with}\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1}j'(i)=m-j(1).$$

Notice that $H^{2j(1)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(1))$ consists of Tate classes and therefore $\phi_1^*(H^{2j(1)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(1))$ consists of decomposable classes. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}H_j&=H^{2j(1)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(1))\otimes\ \otimes_{i=2}^{r}H^{2j(i)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(i))\\&=H^{2j(1)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(1))\otimes H_{j'}=\phi^*(H_{j'}),\\H_j^{G(k)}&=H^{2j(1)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(1))\otimes(H_{j'})^{G(k)}=\phi^*((H_{j'})^{G(k)})\end{aligned}$$

where $H_{j'}=\otimes_{i=1}^{r-1}H^{2j'(i)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j'(i))$ is a Künneth chunk of $H^{2m-2j(1)}(Y_a^{r-1},\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m-j(1))$. By induction assumption, all cohomology classes in $(H_{j'})^{G(k)}$ are decomposable and, therefore, their pullbacks with respect to ϕ are also decomposable. Recall that $\phi_1^*(H^{2j(1)}(Y_a,\mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(1))$ consists of decomposable classes. This ends the proof of the Lemma in the case of $j(1)<2$.

Case 2. Assume that $j(r)>2$, i.e. $j(r)=3$ or 4. Let us consider the projection map $\phi:X=Y^r\to Y^{r-1}$ onto the product of first $(r-1)$ factors, the projection map $\phi_r:X=Y^r\to Y$ on the last factor and a non-decreasing map

$$j':\{1,2\dots,r-1\}\to\{0,1,2,3,4\},\quad j'(i):=j(i)\quad\text{with}\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1}j'(i)=m-j(r).$$

Notice that $H^{2j(r)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(r))$ consists of Tate classes and therefore $\phi_r^*(H^{2j(r)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2j(r)))$ consists of decomposable classes. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_j &= \otimes_{i=1}^{r-1} H^{2j(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(i)) \ \otimes H^{2j(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(r)) \\ &= \phi^*(H_{j'}) \ \otimes \phi_r^*(H^{2j(r)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2j(r))), \end{aligned}$$

$$H_j^{G(k)} = (H_{j'})^{G(k)} \ \otimes H^{2j(r)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(4) = \phi^*((H_{j'})^{G(k)}) \ \otimes \phi_r^*(H^{2j(r)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(r)))$$

where $H_{j'} = \otimes_{i=1}^{r-1} H^{2j'(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j'(i))$ is a Künneth chunk of $H^{2m-2j(r)}(Y_a^{r-1}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(m-j(r))$. By induction assumption, all cohomology classes in $(H_{j'})^{G(k)}$ are decomposable and, therefore, their pullbacks with respect to ϕ are also decomposable. Recall that $\phi_r^*(H^{2j(r)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2j(r)))$ consists of decomposable classes. This ends the proof of the Lemma in the case of $j(r) > 2$.

Case 3. Assume that $j(1) > 1$ and $j(r) < 3$. Since j is non-decreasing and may take on only values $0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, this implies that $j(i) = 2$ for all i and, therefore,

$$H_j = \otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^{2j(i)}(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(j(i)) = \otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2).$$

So, we have to prove that all cohomology classes in

$$H_j^{G(k)} = (\otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(2))^{G(k)}$$

are decomposable. Notice that semistability of $\rho_{Y,2}[1]$ implies that each (reciprocal) root of $P_{Y,[1]}(t)$ which is a root of unity must be equal to 1. Now, the decomposabilty property of elements of $(\otimes_{i=1}^{r} H^2(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)(1))^{G(k)}$ follows from the combination of Theorem 4.3 applied to Y and $m = 2$ and Theorem 2.3 applied to $V = H^4(Y_a, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$, $\rho = \rho_{Y,2}$ and $i = 2$. □

This ends the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

References

[1] M. Artin, *Supersingular K3 surfaces*, Ann. Scient. École Norm. Sup. 4e série **7** (1974), 543-568.

[2] M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties*, Ann. Scient. École Norm. Sup. 4e série **10** (1977), 87-132.

[3] M. Artin and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The Tate-Shafarevich conjecture for pencils of elliptic curves on K3 surfaces*, Invent. Math. **20** (1973), 279-296.

[4] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces K3*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 206-226.

[5] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil.*I., Publ. Math. IHES **43**(1974), 273-307.

[6] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil.*II., Publ. Math. IHES **52**(1980), 137-252.

[7] F. Q. Gouvêa and N. Yui, *Observations on Fermat motives of K3 type*, J. Number Theory **71** (1998), 203–226.

[8] N. Katz and W. Messing, *Some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis for varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **23**(1974), 73-77.

[9] N. Koblitz, *p-adic numbers, p-adic analysis and zeta functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - New York, 1984.

[10] H. W. Lenstra, Jr and Yu. G. Zarhin, *The Tate conjecture for almost ordinary Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Advances in Number Theory (F. Gouvêa and N. Yui, eds.), Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993, 179-194.

[11] N. Levin, *The Tate conjecture for cubic fourfolds over a finite fields.* Compositio Math. **127** (2001), 1–21.

[12] B. Mazur, *Eigenvalues of Frobenius acting on algebraic varieties over finite fields*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 29 , Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975, 231–261.

[13] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[14] J. S. Milne, The Tate conjecture for certain abelian varieties over finite fields. Acta Arith. **100** (2001), no. 2, pp.135–166.

[15] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, second edition, Oxford University Press, London, 1974.

[16] N. O. Nygaard, *The Tate conjecture for ordinary K3 surfaces over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), 213–237.

[17] N. O. Nygaard and A. Ogus, *The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces of finite height*, Ann. of Math. **122** (1985), 461–507.

[18] M. Rapoport, *Complement á l'article de P.Deligne* "La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces K3", Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 227–236.

[19] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian ℓ-adic representations and elliptic curves*, Second Edition, Addison-Wesley, 1989.

[20] J.-P. Serre, *Représentations ℓ-adiques*, Algebraic Number Theory (S. Yanaga, ed.), Japan Soc. Promotion Sci., Tokyo, 1977, 177–193.

[21] T. Shioda, *The Hodge conjecture and the Tate conjecture for Fermat varieties*, Proc. Japan Academy **55**(1979), 111–114.

[22] S. G. Tankeev, *On cycles on Abelian varieties of prime dimension over finite or number fields*, Math. USSR Izvestija **22**(1984), 329–337.

[23] J. Tate, *Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions*, Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry (O. F. G. Schilling, ed.), Harper and Row, New York, 1965, 93–110.

[24] J. Tate, *Endomorphisms of Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **2**(1966), 134–144.

[25] J. Tate, *Conjectures on algebraic cycles in ℓ-adic cohomology.* In: Motives (U. Jannsen, S. Kleiman, J.-P. Serre, eds.), Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **55**, Part 1 (1994), 71–83.

[26] N. Yui, *Special values of zeta functions of Fermat varieties over finite fields*, Number theory New York Seminar 1989-1990, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, 251–275.

[27] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Abelian varieties of K3 type and ℓ-adic representations*, Algebraic Geometry and Analytic Geometry Tokyo 1990, ICM-90 Satellite Conference Proceedings, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1991, 231–255.

[28] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Abelian varieties of K3 type*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1990-91 (S. David, ed.), Progress in Math., vol. 108, , Birkhäuser, 1993, 263-279.

[29] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Transcendental cycles on ordinary K3 surfaces over finite fields*, Duke Math. J., **72**(1993), 65–83.

[30] Yu. G. Zarhin, *The Tate Conjecture for Powers of Ordinary K3 surfaces Over Finite Fields*, J. Algebraic Geometry **5** (1996), 151–172.

The Pennsylvania State University, Department of Mathematics, 218 McAllister Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA

E-mail address: `zarhin@@math.psu.edu`